

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/609,158	LAREDO ET AL.	
	Examiner KENNETH TANG	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** RCE Amendment(1) KENNETH TANG.

(3) _____.

(2) Glenn Barnes (Reg. No. 42,293).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2009**Time:** 6pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-30

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195
 /Kenneth Tang/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to include the limitations of claim 8 and the limitation of "wherein the micro architecture code comprises an instruction interpreter that functions with an instruction translator to execute the virtual machine application instructions" (portion of claim 2) into independent claim 1. Furthermore, independent claims 11 and 21 were amended similarly to place the application in condition for allowance..